1 2 3	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463		
4			
5 6	FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
7		AD REFERRAL: RR 09L-06	
8		ATE REFERRED: February 20, 2009	
9 10	<u>ט</u> י	ATE ACTIVATED: March 15, 2009	
11	E	CPIRATION OF SOL: July 17, 2012 –	
12		ovember 4, 2013	
13 14	source.	ID DEEDDD AT	
15	SOURCE: RA	AD REFERRAL	
16	RESPONDENTS: Ca	nnon for Congress and	
17	Ly	nn Gilbert, in her official capacity as treasurer	
18 19	RELEVANT STATUTES		
20		U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)	
21		J.S.C. § 441a(a)(2)	
22		U.S.C. § 441a(f)	
23 24		C.F.R. § 102.9(a) C.F.R. § 110.1(b)	
25		C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3)	
26		C.F.R. § 110.2(b)	
27		antan a Para da	
28 29		sclosure Reports AD Referral Materials	
30		15 Italia Macial	
31	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: No	one	
32	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
33	This referral from the Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") involves \$113,996.50 in		
34	general election contributions accepted by Cannon for Congress and Lynn Gilbert, in her official		
35	capacity as treasurer ("the Committee"), the principal campaign committee of Chris Cannon,		
36	during the 2008 primary election. Chris Cannon served six terms in the House of		
37	Representatives, representing the 3 rd Congressional District of Utah. On June 24, 2008, Cannon		
38	lost a Republican primary race to challenger Jason Chaffetz. The contributions identified in this		

referral consist of \$113,996.50 that were designated for the 2008 general election, but that were

2 not redesignated, reattributed, or refunded within 60 days of the date of the primary loss. Of this

3 amount, \$75,300 in general election contributions became excessive after Cannon lost the

4 primary election. The Committee failed to refund or otherwise cure its excessive general election

contributions, because it spent almost all of its funds on the primary election.

As described below, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that Cannon for Cangress and Lynn Gilbert, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) by knowingly accepting \$75,300 in contributions designated for the general election from individuals and multicandidate committees that had already contributed the maximum amount allowable for the 2008 primary election, which became excessive as of the date the candidate lost the primary, and 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i), and 110.2(b)(3)(i) by failing to refund, redesignate, or reattribute \$113,996.50 in contributions designated for the general election, including \$38,696.50 in contributions designated for the general election from contributors who did not contribute the maximum allowable for the 2008 primary election,

II. FACTUAL SUMMARY

Beginning in mid-2007 through mid-2008, the Committee reported general election contributions from 20 individuals totaling \$20,996.50, 34 political action committees totaling \$92,000, and one "communication cost group" (i.e., a trade association reporting communication costs on FEC Form 7) totaling \$1,000. See RAD Referral dated Feb. 18, 2009 ("Referral"), at Attachment 2. As noted above, Cannon lost the primary election on June 24, 2008. On October 16, 2008, RAD sent the Committee a Request for Additional Information ("RFAI") referencing

RR 09L-06 (Cannon for Congress)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 3 of 10

- the Committee's 2008 July Quarterly Report. See Referral at 2. The RFAI questioned the
- 2 Committee's receipt of impermissible general election contributions that were reported on
- 3 several of the Committee's 2007 and 2008 FEC Reports and requested that the Committee take
- 4 corrective action. Id. On November 14, 2008, the Committee filed an amended 2008 July
- 5 Quarterly Report, but the Amended Report failed to address the impermissible contributions
- 6 received for the general election. Id.

RAD had several discussions with Kimberley Williams, the assistant to the Committee's treasurer, about the receipt of and failure to refund general election contributions. On January 15, 2009, RAD called Williams and inquired about the Committee's failure to take corrective action in response to the RFAI. *Id.* at 2. Williams stated that she thought she had responded adequately to the RFAI, but would take another look at the outstanding issues. *Id.* at 3. RAD informed Williams that the Committee needed to take immediate action to refund the contributions, and that the Committee should submit a response detailing its efforts to refund the contributions by January 28, 2009 to avoid a referral for further action.

RAD then contacted Williams on January 27, 2009 regarding the status of the general election contributions, and Williams told RAD that she had forwarded the issue to a colleague and was awaiting an answer. *Id.* RAD reitemeted that the Committee was required to refund the contributions by January 28, 2009, and Williams said she would investigate the status of the refunds and call the analyst back. *Id.* Later that day, Williams called RAD and stated that the Committee was not cooperating with the treasurer, and that Williams was experiencing problems getting in touch with the Committee. *Id.* at 3. RAD informed Williams that if the Committee could prepare a statement by January 28, 2009 detailing the corrective action being taken by the

- 1 Committee, RAD would take this statement into consideration. Id. Williams stated that the
- 2 Committee would do so, and gave RAD the contact information for the Committee's campaign
- 3 manager, Joe Hunter. Id. RAD then called and left a message for Hunter regarding the
- 4 Committee's failure to refund the general election contributions, but Hunter has not responded as
- 5 of the date of this report, Id.
- 6 On January 28, 2009, RAD contacted Williams and informed her that it would refer the
- 7 Committee for further action by the Commission. Id. Williams apologized for not having taken
- 8 action somer and stated that the Committee would fully cooperate with the referral process. Id. 1

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

10 The Committee accepted \$113,996.50 in contributions from individuals and 11 multicandidate political action committees ("PACs") that were designated for the 2008 general 12 election. Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), an 13 individual may not make a contribution to a candidate in excess of the limits at 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(1), set at \$2,300 per election during the 2008 election 14 15 cycle, and multicardidate political action committees may not make contributions in excess of \$5,000 per election. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(2)(A). Candidates and political committees are 16 17 prohibited from knowingly accepting contributions in excess of the limitations in section 441a. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f). A primary election, general election, runoff election, and special election 18 19 are all considered an "election" under the Act, see 2 U.S.C. § 431(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.2, and 20 contribution limits are applied separately with respect to each election. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(j).

¹ In accordance with the Agency Procedure for Notice to Respondents in Non-Complaint Generated Matters, 74 Fed. Reg. 38,617 (Aug. 4, 2009), the Office of General Counsel sent a pre-RTB letter to the Respondents on August 7, 2009, which included the RAD Referral document. However, we received no response from the Respondents.

RR 09L-06 (Cannon for Congress)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 5 of 10

- 1 "If a candidate fails to qualify for the general election, any contributions designated for the
- 2 general election that have been received from contributors who have already reached their
- 3 contribution limit for the primary election would exceed FECA's contribution limits." AO 2007-
- 4 03 (Obama) at 3.
- 5 The Commission's regulations permit a committee to accept contributions for the general
- 6 election prior to the primary election, but the committee must employ an acceptable accounting
- 7 mathad to distinguish between primary and general election contributions. See 11 C.F.R.
- § 102.9(e)(1). An authorized committee's records must demonstrate that prior to the primary
- 9 election, the committee's recorded cash on hand was at all times equal to or in excess of the sum
- of general election contributions received less the sum of general election disbursements made.
- 11 See 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(2). If, however, the candidate loses the primary election and does not
- otherwise run in the general election, the committee must, within 60 days; (1) refund the
- contributions designated for the general election; (2) redesignate such contributions in
- accordance with 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(5) or 110.2(b)(5); or (3) reattribute such contributions in
- 15 accordance with 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3). See 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i),
- 16 110.2(b)(3)(i).
- 17 Because a committee does not have actual notice of the need to obtain redesignations
- 18 until the results of the primary are known, if a candidate loses the primary election but has
- accepted a contribution designated for the general election before the primary, the committee has
- 20 60 days from the date of the primary election to refund, redesignate, or reattribute such
- 21 contribution. See AO 1992-15 (Russo for Congress Committee) at 2; see also The Campaign
- 22 Guide for Congressional Candidate and Committees (April 2008) at 18. After a primary loss,

RR 09L-06 (Cannon for Congress)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 6 of 10

1 contributors no longer have a separate contribution limit for the general election. AO 2003-18 2 (Smith) at 3, AO 1986-17 (Green) at 4. Redesignation of general election contributions may only 3 occur to the extent that the amount redesignated does not exceed the contributor's contribution 4 limit for the primary and the amounts redesignated do not exceed the net debts outstanding from 5 the primary. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(5)(iii) and (b)(3)(i), 110.2(b)(5)(iii) and (b)(3)(i); see 6 also AO 1992-15 at 2. A committee's net debts crutstanding are calculated, in relevant part, 7 based on the total amount of debts and obligations incurred for an election, less the total cash on 8 hand available to pay the debts and obligations, and any amounts owed to the committee. 9 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(3)(ii). If a committee deposits contributions that exceed its net debts 10 outstanding, it must, within 60 days of accepting the excessive contributions, refund, redesignate, 11 or reattribute the excessive contributions. 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(3)(i), 110.2(b)(3)(i), see also 12 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(5) and 110.1(k)(3). Likewise, reattribution of a general election 13 contribution may only occur to the extent that such attribution does not exceed the contributor's 14 contribution limits. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3)(ii)(B)(1); see also AO 2007-03 (Obama) at 3. 15 In this case, the Committee accepted contributions totaling \$113.596.50 that were 16 designated for the 2008 general election, but that were not redesignated, reattributed or refunded 17 within 60 days after the candidate's primary loss. See 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(3). Of this amount, it 18 appears that the Committee accepted \$75,300 in contributions from individuals and multicandidate political action committees ("PACs") that had already contributed the maximum 19 20 amount allowable for the primary election, and therefore these contributions designated for the 21 general election became excessive when the candidate lost the primary. See 11 C.F.R.

§ 102.9(e); see also AO 2007-03 (Obama) at 3. The Committee could not redesignate these

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

12

RR 09L-06 (Cannon for Congress)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 7 of 10

- 1 general election contributions to the 2008 primary election because the contributors had already
- 2 contributed the maximum amount allowable for the primary election. Moreover, reattribution of
- 3 the Committee's general election contributions would not remedy the Committee's acceptance of
- 4 a contribution designated for an election in which Cannon was not participating. See 11 C.F.R.
- 5 § 110.1(k)(3). The remaining amount, \$38,696.50, came from individuals and PACs that did not
- 6 contribute the maximum amount allowable for the primary election, but which was not
- 7 redesignated, reattributed, or refunded as required under 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e). Also, according
- 8 to disclosure reports, the Committee spent nearly all of its masney on the primary election and,
- 9 thus, did not have sufficient funds to make the necessary refunds.³

Based upon the foregoing, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that Cannon for Congress and Lynn Gilbert, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) by knowingly accepting \$75,300 in contributions designated for the general election from individuals and multicandidate committees that had already contributed the maximum amount allowable for the 2008 primary election, which became excessive as of the date the candidate lost the primary, and 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i), and 110.2(b)(3)(i) by failing to refund, redesignate, or reattribute \$113,996.50 in contributions designated for the general election from contributors who did not contribute the maximum allowable for the 2008

² Most of the individuals and PACs only made contributions for the 2008 general election. One PAC, DIRECTV Group Inc. Fund, contributed \$4,000 for the 2008 primary election and \$2,500 for the 2008 general election. Therefore, \$1,500 of the DIRECTV contribution designated for the general election became excessive as of the date Cannon lost the primary, and the remaining \$1,000 should have been refunded, redesignated, or reattributed in accordance with 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i), and 110.2(b)(3)(i).

³ The Committee reported \$3,455.68 in cash on hand in its 2008 October Quarterly Report and \$2,572.27 cash on hand in its 2008 Year End Report.

RR 09L-06 (Cannon for Congress) First General Counsel's Report Page 8 of 10

	1	primary election.					
	2						
	3	This Office believes that a formal investigation is not required to establish the facts					
	4	described in this Report.					
11044293366	5						
	6						
	7						
	8						
	9						
	10						
	11						
	12						
	13	·					
	14						
	15						
	16						
	17						
	18						
	19						
	20						
	21						

V. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 13 1. Open a MUR in RAD Referral 09L-06;
- Find reason to believe that Cannon for Congress and Lynn Gilbert, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i), and 110.2(b)(3)(i);
- 17 3. Enter into pre-probable cause conciliation with Cannon for Congress and Lynn
 18 Gilbert, in her official capacity as treasurer, prior to a finding of probable cause to
 19 believe;

RR 09L-06 (Cannon for Congress) First General Counsel's Report Page 10 of 10

	1		4.	Approve the attached proposed Conciliation Agreement;		
	2		5.	Approve the attached Fa	actual and Legal Analysis; and	
	3		6. Approve the appropriate letters.			
1164429568	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Date	10-1	13-09	Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel Kathleen Guith Deputy Associate General Counsel Fulio McConnell Assistant General Counsel Joshua B. Smith Attorney	